

Miscellaneous Technological Sciences

Category Rules: The category is for any technological science that is not covered with an individual category.

Documentation (0 to 4 points):

- 0: No documentation provided with entry.
- 1: Minimal information consisting of time, place and style.
- 2: Information consisting of time, place, and style, plus use of general sources; reliance on tertiary sources with perhaps one secondary source (ex: use of encyclopedia articles with a possible additional use of a book or article that refers to a period source.).
- 3: Information consisting of time, place, and style, plus a developed discussion making use of critical references; sources are largely secondary (ex: sources refer to period references; documentation discusses, compares, and contrasts the source's views).
- 4: Information consisting of time, place, and style, plus explanation of original research/experiment and relation of source material to it. Primary sources along with critical references, if available, are emphasized (ex: sources used are from the appropriate period for the entry, such as period manuscripts, paintings or artifacts, archaeological essays of primary source item, etc.).

Methods and Materials (0 to 4 points):

- 0: Entry is completely modern with no relationship to period elements or practices.
- 1: Use of modern materials and methods to produce a work that would not be accepted in period but either bears some relationship to an authentic work or which might be useful within SCA Culture.
- 2: Use of both modern materials and methods to produce a work that looks or feels authentic to the time period it purports to be.
- 3: Use of either modern materials or methods to produce a work that looks or feels authentic.
- 4: Use of totally authentic materials and methods.

Scope (0 to 6 points): Rank the ambition, not the success, of the entry on a scale of 0 to 6 for each of the following elements and average for the total Scope score (Total of element scores divided by 5, 6, 7, or 8).

- Complexity of item.
- Type of materials used.
- Construction methods used.
- Number or complexity of techniques used.
- Extent gone to ensure authenticity of techniques used.

Optional Elements, if applicable:

- Pattern or design.
- Type of finishing required.
- Decoration. Consider appropriateness of decorative method chosen. Elaborate decoration not documentable to the time of the entry; for example, rococco chasing on a Viking era item, while possibly aesthetically pleasing should not score as well as a simpler but more appropriate embellishment.

Skill (0 to 6 points): How well is the entry made? Rank the success of the entry on a scale of 0 to 6 points for each of the following elements and average for the total Skill score (Total of element scores divided by 4,5 or 6).

- Realization of design (how well does the result match what was being attempted?).
- Construction techniques (for each techniques used).
- Functionality
- Finishing
- Durability

Optional Elements, if applicable:

- Decoration
- Precision

Creativity (0 to 4 points):

- 0: Rote-copied item with mistakes added.
- 1: Rote-copied item with no innovations or documented knowledge of period style.
- 2: Logical combination of period elements with some innovation of embellishment or techniques, all logical to the period context of the persona for whom the costume is constructed.
- 3: As in #2, plus much individual interpretation and interpolation logical to the period context of the persona for whom the costume is constructed; that is, the range of possible styles considered and innovative combinations which appear to fit logically together.
- 4: As in #3 plus each element shows such consideration and choice logically innovative to itself. Elements work together in a cohesive fashion which is specific to the needs of the persona for whom the item is constructed.

Judge's Observations (0 to 6 points): Rank the entry as a whole. How well do all the separately judged parts fit together? The entry's overall effect is judged in this section. This is the only section of the Criteria where the judge may allow her/his personality, private opinions and personal preferences to influence scoring.

Contributors: Elli Lutemaker, 1982; Bronwyn ferch Gwyn ap Rhys, 1987; Thorkel the Berserker, Kenna McKenna, Anastasiia Kyrilovna Ivanova, 2003.

Editors: Myrra de Blackwood, 1984; Myrra de Blackwood & Bronwyn ferch Gwyn ap Rhys, 1987; Caroline de Mercier & Sonya of Prague, 1990; Thorhalla Carlsdottir af Bröberg, 1997, Gwyneth Banfhidhleir, 2003.